

Appln. No. 10/591,653  
Amd. dated August 11, 2011  
Reply to Office Action of July 25, 2003

## REMARKS

The Office Action of July 22, 2011, has been carefully studied. Claims 1, 3, 4 7-11 and 13 currently appear in this application. These claims define novel and unobvious subject matter under Sections 102 and 103 of 35 U.S.C., and therefore should be allowed. Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration and formal allowance of the claims.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-11 and 13 are rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being obvious over claims of US 7,807,675.

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Submitted herewith is a terminal disclaimer which should be sufficient to overcome the double patenting rejection.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in condition for allowance, and favorable action thereon is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.  
Attorneys for Applicant

By



Anne M. Kornbau  
Registration No. 25,884

AMK:srd  
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197  
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528  
G:\BN\Y\YUAS\Ikeya1\Pto\2011-08-11Amendment.doc